

ENTERED

April 13, 2023

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

RYANT CONNELLY,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NUECES COUNTY JAIL, *et al.*,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00207


**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION
OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

On March 6, 2023, United States Magistrate Judge Mitchel Neurock issued a “Memorandum and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge” (M&R, D.E. 19), recommending after an initial screening pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(c); 28 U.S.C. § 1915A that this action be dismissed with prejudice and that the dismissal count as a strike against Plaintiff. Plaintiff was provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s M&R. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s M&R is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s M&R. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge's M&R (D.E. 19), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. It is **ORDERED** that this dismissal counts as a "strike" for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and the Clerk of Court is instructed to send notice of this dismissal to the Manager of the Three Strikes List for the Southern District of Texas at Three_Strikes@txs.uscourts.gov.

ORDERED on April 13, 2023.


NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE